

The Varenicline Adolescent Study and Lessons Learned

Tom McRae, M.D.,M.S.

Global Clinical Lead for Varenicline
Global Product Development, Pfizer, Inc.

1

Study Design Highlights

- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled
- 2 doses of varenicline adjusted by weight
 - High dose: 1 mg twice a day (BID) for >55 kg, 0.5 mg BID for ≤55 kg
 - Low dose: 0.5 mg BID for >55 kg, 0.5 mg once a day (QD) for ≤55 kg
- 12 weeks of treatment with 40 weeks of follow-up
 - Dose titration for first 2 weeks of treatment (0.5 mg QD for all groups, except those taking 1 mg BID who take 0.5 mg QD for the first week and 0.5 mg BID for the second week)
 - Target quit date to coincide with the Week 1 visit
- Clinic visits were weekly for the first 16 weeks, then at Weeks 20, 28, 36, 44, and 52, with telephone visits at Weeks 24, 32, 40, and 48

2

Key Inclusion Criteria

- Healthy males and females aged 12–19 years
- Body weight ≥35 kg (77lbs), and body mass index (BMI) ≤35 kg/m²
- Currently smoking at least an average of 5 cigarettes/day for past 30 days
- Score ≥4 on Fagerström test for nicotine dependence
- Motivated to stop smoking
- At least one prior quit attempt
- Assent and parental consent (ages 12–17 years) or subject consent (ages 18–19 years)

3

Key Exclusion Criteria

- Suicide attempt, hospitalization due to suicidal ideation or behavior, or having serious ideation or behavior within the past 12 months
- Clinically significant psychiatric disorder
- Evidence of alcohol or substance use disorder within 3 months of screening or a positive urine drug screen at screening and baseline
- Inability or unwillingness to refrain from use of other tobacco products, marijuana, or nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) during study participation

4

Efficacy Endpoints

- Primary Efficacy Endpoint:
 - 4 week Continuous Abstinence Rate (CAR, weeks 9–12)
 - The primary analysis for this endpoint was the overall study (ages 12–19 years)
- Secondary Efficacy endpoints:
 - 7-day point-prevalence of smoking abstinence at weeks 12, 24, and 52
 - Reduction in number of cigarettes smoked at weeks 12, 24, and 52
 - CAR weeks 9–24
 - CAR weeks 9–52

5

Safety Endpoints

- Safety assessments
 - Adverse events (AEs) spontaneously volunteered or observed AND
 - Solicited AEs via the:
 - Neuropsychiatric Adverse Event Interview (NAEI)
 - Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS)
 - Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)
 - Safety labs and vitals
- Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic assessments
 - Varenicline plasma levels and exposure-response analyses for efficacy and nausea/vomiting

6

Smoking Cessation Counseling

- Every visit, starting at baseline included “up to 10 minutes” of smoking cessation counseling
- Counseling manual was created by Dr. Jaimee Heffner from University of Cincinnati
- Age appropriate adaptation of the Public Health Service guidelines
- All subjects were provided the “I Quit” booklet

7

STUDY RESULTS

8

Participant Characteristics (Safety Population)

Characteristic	Varenicline High Dose N=108	Varenicline Low Dose N=100	Placebo N=99
Age (years) Mean (SD)	16.0 (2.0)	16.0 (1.7)	15.8 (1.8)
Gender, n (%)			
Male	70 (64.8)	63 (63.0)	63 (63.6)
Female	38 (35.2)	37 (37.0)	36 (36.4)
Race, n (%)			
White	81 (75.0)	73 (73.0)	74 (74.7)
Black	9 (8.3)	9 (9.0)	5 (5.1)
Asian	16 (14.8)	18 (18.0)	19 (19.2)
FTND Total Score Mean (SD) Range	5.4 (1.4) 1-9	5.5 (1.4) 3-9	5.3 (1.6) 0-9
Average cigs/day in last month Mean (SD) Range	12.8 (7.5) 5-40	12.3 (6.0) 5-30	12.0 (6.0) 5-30
Number of years smoked Mean (SD) Range	3.1 (1.7) 0-8	3.0 (2.3) 0-13	2.9 (1.7) 1-8

9

CAR 9–12, Urine Cotinine Confirmed: Full Analysis Set

CAR 9–12 (%)	Overall n/N (%)
Varenicline High Dose	22/109 (20.2)
Varenicline Low Dose	28/103 (27.2)
Placebo	18/100 (18.0)
Treatment Comparisons	Estimated odds ratio in CAR 9–12 (95% CI) [p-value]*
Varenicline High Dose vs Placebo	1.18 (0.59, 2.37) [p=0.6354]
Varenicline Low Dose vs Placebo	1.73 (0.88, 3.38) [p=0.1119]

Full analysis set included all randomized subjects

* P-values and odds ratios based on a logistic regression model with terms treatment, age strata, and pooled center

CAR, continuous abstinence rate; N, number of subjects randomized; n, number of subjects who, at each visit from Weeks 9 to 12 (inclusive), reported no smoking and no use of other nicotine-containing products since the last study visit/last contact (on the Nicotine Use Inventory) and at any of these visits were confirmed to have quit based on urine cotinine

10

Safety

Adverse Events (AEs) [participant n (%)]

	Varenicline High Dose N=108	Varenicline Low Dose N=100	Placebo N=99
Overall AEs	65 (60.2)	53 (53.0)	52 (52.5)
Neuropsychiatric AEs	18 (16.7)	11 (11.0)	12 (12.1)
Serious AEs	3 (2.8)	1 (1.0)	1 (1.0)
Severe AEs	3 (2.8)	3 (3.0)	1 (1.0)
Dose reduced or temporary discontinuation due to AEs	9 (8.3)	4 (4.0)	7 (7.1)
Discontinued drug due to AEs	6 (5.6)	1 (1.0)	4 (4.0)
Discontinued study due to AEs	1 (0.9)	0	3 (3.0)

11

Safety

AEs with ≥5% incidence in any treatment group [participant n (%)]

	Varenicline High Dose N=108	Varenicline Low Dose N=100	Placebo N=99
Nausea	26 (24.1)	19 (19.0)	12 (12.1)
Headache	14 (13.0)	5 (5.0)	8 (8.1)
Agitation	9 (8.3)	5 (5.0)	5 (5.1)
Vomiting	14 (13.0)	2 (2.0)	2 (2.0)
Abnormal dreams	8 (7.4)	5 (5.0)	4 (4.0)
Anxiety	6 (5.6)	4 (4.0)	7 (7.1)
Dizziness	6 (5.6)	7 (7.0)	3 (3.0)
Hostility	7 (6.5)	3 (3.0)	4 (4.0)
Upper respiratory tract infection	6 (5.6)	5 (5.0)	2 (2.0)
Nasopharyngitis	4 (3.7)	3 (3.0)	5 (5.1)

12

Additional Safety Data

- Overall, there were 5 subjects with treatment-emergent serious adverse events
 - 3 subjects on high dose varenicline
 - Situational exacerbation of adjustment disorder (16 year old)
 - Laryngitis (15 year old)
 - Campylobacter gastroenteritis (14 year old)
 - 1 subject on low dose varenicline
 - Acute cholecystitis and bile duct stone (18 year old)
 - 1 subject on placebo
 - Acute salpingitis (16 year old)
- 6 subjects had serious adverse events >30 days post-treatment

13

CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED

14

Efficacy and Safety

- Varenicline showed no significant benefit compared with placebo in achieving smoking cessation in nicotine-dependent adolescents who also received age appropriate counselling
- Both varenicline doses used in the A3051073 study were generally well tolerated and no new safety concerns were identified
 - The type and relative frequencies of common AEs were similar to those seen in adults treated with varenicline
 - Regardless of treatment, most neuropsychiatric AEs were solicited by the NAEI and were mild. There were no notable differences between treatments and placebo.

15

Lessons Learned

- Study recruitment is very challenging
 - Recruiting 312 participants took almost 6 years and required adding ex-U.S. sites (about one-third of U.S. sites failed to randomize any subjects)
 - 151/463 (33%) of participants screened were screen failures
 - 106 did not meet I/E criteria (26 of these did not meet the smoking criteria); 8 were lost to follow-up, 22 were no longer willing to participate, and 15 were other reasons
 - The original plan to recruit participants through schools was not workable
 - Study participation was very demanding for participants and their parents – 1-year study, weekly clinic visits for the 1st 16 weeks, 4 blood draws from screening to week 12

16

Lessons Learned

- Study recruitment is very challenging
 - Study participation was very demanding for participants and their parents –
 - 1-year study
 - Weekly clinic visits for the 1st 16 weeks
 - 4 blood draws from screening to week 12
 - Participants ≤ 17 years old required parental consent, i.e. had to tell them that they were smokers

17

Lessons Learned

- Study retention is also challenging
 - 77/307 (25%) of those treated dropped out during treatment
 - The most common reasons were
 - 31 (10%) lost to follow-up
 - 22 (7%) no longer willing to participate
 - 16 (5%) other
 - 2 participants (1 varenicline high dose, 1 placebo) discontinued the study during treatment due to adverse events
 - 43/307 (14%) of those treated dropped out during the post-treatment follow-up period; the most common reasons were similar to those above

18

Lessons Learned

- Efficacy criteria may have been too strict
 - Admitting to smoking “even a puff” disqualified participants as responders
 - Adolescents may not see a reason to maintain strict abstinence
 - They likely do not have health concerns
 - They may not see small slip-ups as failing the study
 - They may succumb more readily to peer pressure