Abstract accepted for presentation at ATS 2024

Changes in lung function and changes in patient-reported outcomes in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF)

Jamie L Todd,^{1,2} Megan L Neely,^{1,2} Anne S Hellkamp,^{1,2} Daniel A Culver,³ Justin M Oldham,⁴ Peide Li,⁵ Scott M Palmer,^{1,2} Craig S Conoscenti⁵ on behalf of the IPF-PRO Registry investigators

¹Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, NC, USA; ²Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA; ³Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ⁵Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ridgefield, CT, USA.

Rationale: As IPF progresses, patients experience deterioration in lung function and healthrelated quality of life (HRQL). We used data from the IPF-PRO Registry to assess relationships between changes in physiologic measures and changes in patient-reported outcomes (PROs).

Methods: The IPF-PRO Registry enrolled patients with IPF that was diagnosed or confirmed at the enrolling center in the previous 6 months. FVC and DLco were measured as part of the patient's usual care. The composite physiologic index (CPI) was calculated based on % predicted values for FVC, DLco and FEV₁. A joint model provided estimates for these physiologic measures during follow-up. Receiving operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed for each measure to identify the value that best divided patients who had versus did not have a deterioration in PROs (*i.e.,* a worsening of ≥5 points in the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) activity domain score and/or worsening of ≥5 points in the 12-item short form survey (SF-12) physical component summary [PCS]) score from enrollment to 6 months, enrollment to 12 months, 6 to 12 months, 12 to 24 months. Correlations between changes in physiologic measures and changes in PROs over the same interval were assessed using Pearson correlation coefficients (r).

Results: The analysis cohort included 736 patients. At enrollment, estimated median (Q1, Q3) FVC % predicted was 73.7 (63.5, 84.6), DLco % predicted was 43.5 (36.5, 52.3) and CPI was 50.2 (43.6, 56.3). ROC curves indicated that none of these measures discriminated patients who had versus did not have deterioration in the PROs; area under the curve (AUC) values ranged from 0.52 to 0.65 (Figure). From enrollment to 6 months, enrollment to 12 months, 6 to 12 months and 12 to 24 months, respectively, correlations between changes in FVC % predicted and changes in the PROs were 0.16, 0.29, 0.16 and 0.30, correlations

between changes in DLco % predicted and changes in the PROs were -0.003, 0.14, 0.08 and 0.24, and correlations between changes in CPI and changes in the PROs were -0.07, -0.22, -0.12 and -0.30.

Conclusion: Among patients in the IPF-PRO Registry, changes in physiologic measures were not closely related to changes in the SGRQ activity domain score or SF-12 PCS over 6 to 12-month periods. No cut-off threshold for changes in physiologic measures reliably distinguished patients with a larger deterioration in lung function and meaningful decline in HRQL from those who did not have a meaningful decline in HRQL.





Disclosures: The IPF-PRO/ILD-PRO Registry is supported by Boehringer Ingelheim

Pharmaceuticals, Inc (BIPI) and run in collaboration with the Duke Clinical Research Institute (DCRI) and enrolling centers. Writing assistance, which was contracted and funded by BIPI, was provided by Fleishman-Hillard, London, UK. Jamie L Todd, Megan L Neely, Anne S Hellkamp and Scott M Palmer are faculty members of the Duke Clinical Research Institute (DCRI), which receives funding support from BIPI to coordinate the IPF-PRO/ILD-PRO Registry. Scott M Palmer also reports research funding to the Duke Clinical Research Institute from Bristol-Myers Squibb. Peide Li and Craig S Conoscenti are employees of BIPI. Daniel A Culver was a site investigator and member of the Steering Committee for the IPF-PRO/ILD-PRO Registry. Justin M Oldham reports no disclosures.